

Planning Team Report

Rezoning at 20-22 Gallipoli Road, Long Jetty Proposal Title : Rezoning at 20-22 Gallipoli Road, Long Jetty The proposal seeks to rezone 2(a) Residential land to 5(a) Special Uses in Wyong LEP 1991 to Proposal Summary : enable development for the purpose of a carpark for the adjoining Tuggerah Lakes Memorial Club (also known as "Diggers @ The Entrance"). 13/13081 PP Number : PP 2013 WYONG 011 00 Dop File No : **Proposal Details** LGA covered : Date Planning Wyong 07-Aug-2013 Proposal Received : RPA : Wyong Shire Council Hunter Region : Section of the Act State Electorate : THE ENTRANCE 55 - Planning Proposal LEP Type : Spot Rezoning **Location Details** Street : 20-22 Gallipoli Road Postcode : Suburb : City: 2261 Long Jetty Land Parcel : Lot 1 DP121890 & Lot 1 DP122040 **DoP Planning Officer Contact Details** Contact Name : **Glenn Hornal** Contact Number : 0292285009 Contact Email : glenn.hornal@planning.nsw.gov.au **RPA Contact Details** Contact Name : Gary Hamer Contact Number : 0243505561 Contact Email : gary.hamer@wyong.nsw.gov.au **DoP Project Manager Contact Details** Contact Name : Contact Number : Contact Email : Land Release Data Growth Centre : Release Area Name : Consistent with Strategy : Regional / Sub **Central Coast Regional** Yes Regional Strategy : Strategy

MDP Number :		Date of Release :		
Area of Release (Ha) :		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :		
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0	
Gross Floor Area:	0	No of Jobs Created	0	
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes			
If No, comment :				
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists?	No			
If Yes, comment :				
Supporting notes				
Internal Supporting Notes :	SITE CONTEXT Council has advised the two lots a Club. Council recently approved rooms associated with the club in	a development application fo	r a Motel comprising 56	
8	The rezoning of the subject sites of cater for overspill on special even parking capacity for the future mo	it days (ANZAC day) as well a		
	ALTERNATIVES TO THE PLANNIN Council was asked to confirm if it Wyong LEP 1991 to enable the de near zone boundary clauses).	had considered using existin		
	Council has discretion to grant co purpose for a maximum of 28 days however Council has advised the While development under the tem year and may not provide certaint will become redundant once Wyor permissible on the subject lots, the planning proposal.	s in a year under clause 26 - planning proposal provides a porary use clause is limited t y for club operations, given t ng dLEP 2012 is finalised and	Temporary use of land greater degree of certainty. o a maximum of 28 days in a hat the planning proposal the use becomes	
	Council has advised clause 30 - D used as clause 13 of SEPP 71 - Co provisions.			
	CONSISTENCY WITH GUIDES The planning proposal appears to Guide to Preparing Planning Propo a net community benefit test. The Guide. The substance of the commute the justification section of the plan	osals" which results in the in e test is no longer required in munity benefits table could be	clusion of a table based on the latest version of the	
	The Guide also requires responses discussion on Council's local stra Coast Regional Strategy (page 8) a	tegy where it should include	discussion on the Central	

	15) has not been address	ed.
		es italics inconsistently (eg. page 8). This should be minimised to o assist community understanding during consultation.
	The planning proposal sa but no Attachment 11 has	ys that detailed discussion on 117 directions is in Attachment 11 been provided.
External Supporting Notes :		
lequacy Assessn	nent	and provide the statement of the statement
Statement of the	objectives - s55(2)(a)	
Is a statement of the	e objectives provided? Yes	
Comment :		seeks to enable development for the purpose of a carpark at 20-22 etty. The carpark will provide additional parking capacity for the kes Memorial Club.
Explanation of p	rovisions provided - s55(2)(b)
Is an explanation of	provisions provided? Yes	
Comment :		zone the current 2(a) Residential land to a 5(a) Special Uses zone to It by amending the Wyong LEP 1991.
	advance of the plannin proposed to be zoned	ade redundant if the draft Wyong LEP 2012 (dLEP) is finalised in g proposal. The subject land and the adjoining club land are RE2 Private Recreation which permits registered clubs. Council has considered ancillary to club uses and would be permissible.
Justification - s5	5 (2)(c)	
a) Has Council's str	ategy been agreed to by the Dir	ector General? No
b) S.117 directions	identified by RPA :	2.2 Coastal Protection
* May need the Dire	ctor General's agreement	3.1 Residential Zones 3.3 Home Occupations 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies
Is the Director G	eneral's agreement required? U	nknown
c) Consistent with S	tandard Instrument (LEPs) Ord	er 2006 : Yes
d) Which SEPPs ha	ve the RPA identified?	SEPP No 71—Coastal Protection
e) List any other matters that need to be considered :		
Have inconsistencie	s with items a), b) and d) being	adequately justified? Unknown
		SEPPs are discussed in the assessment section of the report.
If No, explain :	5117 Directions and a	
If No, explain :		·
	d - s55(2)(d)	

Has community const	ultation been proposed? Yes	
Comment :	Council has proposed a 14 day public exhibition period and this is supported.	
dditional Directo	r General's requirements	
Are there any addition	al Director General's requirements? Yes	
If Yes, reasons :	PROJECT TIMELINE Council's timeline anticipates the planning proposal is to be finalised in February 2014 (approx 6 months). Given this is a minor spot rezoning, with a limited public exhibition period and does not require agency consultation it is considered a 3 month timeframe could also be achieved.	
	DELEGATION AUTHORISATION Council has accepted plan-making delegations for planning proposals generally and has requested delegation to make this planning proposal.	
)verall adequacy o	of the proposal	
Does the proposal me	et the adequacy criteria? Yes	
If No, comment :		
posal Assessmen	t	
rincipal LEP:		
Due Date : October 2	013	
Comments in relation to Principal LEP :	Council submitted the standard instrument draft Wyong LEP 2012 (dLEP 2012) to the Department to be made on 31 May 2013, (with subsequent submissions on 19 June and 3 July 2013), and it is expected the plan will be finalised in October 2013.	
ssessment Criter	ia	
Need for planning proposal :	Council has stated the planning proposal is the result of Council's adopted "The Entrance Peninsula Planning Strategy" which identifies recommendations and strategies associated with the club.	
	The subject lots and the adjoining club site are to be zoned RE2 Private Recreation in the SI draft Wyong LEP 2012 and will permit "registered clubs". Carparks are ancillary to club uses and would also be permitted.	
	Council has advised the lots will be principally used as carparking for overspill on large event days (ie Anzac Day) and for other Club activities. Council considers the rezoning of	

÷

Consistency with	CENTRAL COAST REGIONAL STRATEGY (CCRS)
strategic planning	The CCRS establishes the strategic framework to guide sustainable growth within the
framework :	
namework .	Region over the next 25 years. The CCRS does not specifically identify the subject site
	however the planning proposal is generally consistent with regard to meeting employment
	targets. The redevelopment of the subject site and associated development of the club
	may assist providing additional jobs within The Entrance Town Centre and reinforce
	employment self containment within the Region.
	Council has included discussion (Section R., Page 8) on the "The Entrance Poningula
	Council has included discussion (Section B - Page 8) on the "The Entrance Peninsula
	Planning Strategy" in its response to the question on the regional strategy. This should be
	relocated to the appropriate section of the planning proposal on Page 11.
	*
	LOCAL STRATEGIES
	The Entrance Peninsula Planning Strategy.
	The subject site is located in Precinct 7 of the Strategy which includes objectives for the
	Precinct that facilitate the future development of the club site. Council has included
	discussion on the applicable objectives and has identified the proposal is consistent with
	the strategy.
	Whong Shire Community Strategic Blog 2020
	Wyong Shire Community Strategic Plan 2030.
	Council has identified the planning proposal supports the The Community Strategic Plan
	and is consistent with some of the priority objectives of the plan.
	STATE ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING POLICIES (SEPPs)
	SEPP 55 - Remediation of Land
	Council has not identified the SEPP as applicable however it should demonstrate that it
	has considered matters under clause 6 and update the proposal accordingly.
	SEPP 71 - Coastal Protection
	Council has stated the proposal is consistent with the aims and objectives of the SEPP.
	The matters for consideration under clause 8 should be taken into account by a council
	when it prepares a draft local environmental plan. Council should include consideration of
	clause 8 matters by updating the planning proposal before community consultation.
	S117 DIRECTIONS
	Council's consideration of the S117 Directions is limited to a table identifying whether they
	are applicable and consistent. There is reference to a more detailed consideration in
	'Attachment 11' which has not been provided (possibly text from a different planning
	proposal as there are only two attachments to this planning proposal).
	A number of S117 Directions that apply are not listed and Council should update the table
	prior to exhibition as follows:
	2.2 Coastal Protection is listed as applying but consistency is listed as NA. The planning
	proposal is not inconsistent with this Direction and the consistency column should be
	Yes.
	The following Directions apply and the planning proposal is considered to be consistent.
	The table in the planning proposal should be updated.
	2.1 Environment Protection Zones
	2.3 Heritage Conservation
	2.4 Recreation Vehicle Areas
	3.2 Caravan Parks and Manufactured Home Estates
	3.4 Integrating Land Use & Transport
	6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements
	6.3 Site Specific Provisions

The following S117 Directions require further discussion:

3.1 Residential Zones

Council has identified the proposal is consistent with the Direction. The planning proposal is inconsistent with the direction as it will reduce the permissible residential density of the land (i.e. the site is being rezoned from residential to special uses) and will result in the loss of two lots for residential purposes.

The reduction of two lots is not likely to undermine the CCRS and Council included the lots as RE2 Private Recreation in its SI dLEP to reflect the adjoining club zoning. It is considered the proposal's inconsistency with the Direction is of minor significance.

4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils

The Acid Sulfate Soils Maps for the SI dLEP show the land to be located on Class 5 land (approximately 50 metres from Class 3 land with potential acid sulfate soils). Given the development is for carparking and is likely to require minor surface ground works with minimal excavation any inconsistency with the direction is considered to be of minor significance.

Environmental social economic impacts :

ENVIRONMENTAL

Council has advised there will be no detrimental effects upon critical habitats, threatened species or ecological communities. Council has considered the impacts of stormwater runoff and advised they can be managed through conditions of consent for any future development applications.

SOCIAL & ECONOMIC

Council has identified social and economic benefits of increased club patronage associated with the redevelopment of the car park. The planning proposal also includes an evaluation of net community benefit and identified the proposal will ensure adequate provision of parking for future expansion of the Club site.

The subject lots would expand the carpark of the club site which adjoins the Central Coast Highway. No details have been provided however it would be unlikely to exceed 50 additional spaces and is not considered to warrant agency consultation with the Roads and Maritime Services.

Assessment Process

Proposal type :	Routine		Community Consultation Period :	14 Days
Timeframe to make LEP :	3 months		Delegation :	RPA
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2) (d) :				
Is Public Hearing by the	e PAC required?	No		
(2)(a) Should the matte	er proceed ?	Yes		
If no, provide reasons :			*	
Resubmission - s56(2)	(b) : No			ł.
If Yes, reasons :				
Identify any additional s	studies if required			

If Other, provide reasons :

Identify any internal consultations, if required :

No internal consultation required

Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? No

If Yes, reasons :

Documents

Document File Name	DocumentType Name	Is Public
Council Covering Letter.pdf	Proposal Covering Letter	Yes
Planning Proposal.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Draft Zoning Map.pdf	Мар	Yes
Council Report.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Council Resolution.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Project Timeline.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Evaluation_criteria_for_the_delegation_of_plan_making	Determination Document	No
.doc		

Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the plannin	g proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions
S.117 directions:	2.2 Coastal Protection 3.1 Residential Zones 3.3 Home Occupations 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies
Additional Information	It is considered the planning proposal should proceed subject to the following:
	1. Council update the planning proposal to ensure;
	a) it has been prepared using the latest version of the Department's "A Guide to Preparing Planning Proposals";
	b) the substance of the net community benefits table be incorporated in the justification section of the planning proposal (the table based on a net community benefit test is no longer required in the latest version of "A Guide to Preparing Planning Proposals");
	c) formatting is clear (eg. use of italics on page 8) and references to attachments (eg. attachment 11) are correct;
	d) responses are consistent with the questions (ie page 8 includes a local strategy rather than the Central Coast Regional Strategy and should be relocated to the appropriate section of the planning proposal (page 11);
	e) a response is required to the question on views of public authorities on page 15.
	2. SEPP 55 - Remediation of Land. Council has not identified the SEPP as applicable and should demonstrate that it has considered matters under clause 6 and update the proposal accordingly.
	3. SEPP 71 - Coastal Protection. Council should include consideration of clause 8 matters by updating the planning proposal before community consultation.
	4. Council updates the planning proposal consideration of the following s117 Directions as applicable and are considered to be consistent: 2.1 Environment Protection Zones

2.3 Heritage Conservation 2.4 Recreation Vehicle Areas
2.4 Recreation Vehicle Areas
3.2 Caravan Parks and Manufactured Home Estates
3.4 Integrating Land Use & Transport
6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements
6.3 Site Specific Provisions
5. The DG agree the provisions of the planning proposal that are inconsistent with S117 Direction 3.1 Residential Zones and 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils are of minor significance.
6. No Consultation with public authorities is required .
7. The planning proposal must be made publicly available for 14 days.
8. The timeframe for completing the LEP is to be 3 months from the week following the date of the Gateway determination.
9. Council be granted delegation to make the plan.
*
Plus
g Mothers

e.